MNRAS 000, 1–?? () Preprint 17 November 2016 Compiled using MNRAS LATEX style file v3.0

Modified gravity (MOG) can fit the acceleration data for the cluster Abell 1689

J .W. Moffat[1] ⋆, M.H. Zhoolideh Haghighi[1,2]†
[1] Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N., Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5,Canada
[2] Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11155-9161, Tehran, Iran

arXiv:1611.05382v1 [astro-ph.GA] 16 Nov 2016

17 November 2016

ABSTRACT
The galaxy cluster system Abell 1689 has been well studied and yields good lensing and X-ray gas data. Modified gravity (MOG) is applied to the cluster Abell 1689 and the acceleration data is well fitted without assuming dark matter. Newtonian dynamics and Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) are shown not to fit the acceleration data, while a dark matter model based on the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) mass profile is shown not to fit the acceleration data below $\sim 200\,\mathrm{kpc}$.

1 INTRODUCTION

Zwicky in 1933 (Zwicky (1937, 1933)) found that unseen mass had to be assumed to exist to explain the observational data for the Coma cluster. Subsequently, it was discovered that this unseen mass called dark matter had to be incorporated into gravity to explain the rotation curves of galaxies (Rubin et al. (1970)). Underground experiments (Akerib et al. (2016); PandaX-II Collaboration et al. (2016)) as well as astrophysical searches have failed to detect dark matter particles. An alternative explanation for the missing dark matter is to modify Newtonian and Einstein gravity. One such fully covariant and Lorentz invariant alternative theory is Scalar-Tensor-Vector-Gravity (STVG), also know as MOG (Moffat (2006)).

MOG has passed successful tests in explaining rotation velocity data of spiral and dwarf galaxies (Moffat & Rahvar (2013)), (Zhoolideh Haghighi & Rahvar (2016)), globular clusters (Moffat & Toth (2008b)) and clusters of galaxies (Moffat & Rahvar (2014)). Recently, it was claimed (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)) that no modified gravity theory can fit the Abell 1689 acceleration data without including dark matter or heavy (sterile) neutrinos. The cluster A1689 is important, for good lensing and gas data are available and we have data from 3kpc to 3Mpc. We will show that MOND (Milgrom (1983)) does not fit the A1689 acceleration data, nor does the dark matter model based on an NFW mass profile. However, MOG does fit the A1689 acceleration data without dark matter.

1.1 The MOG Acceleration

We will use the weak field approximation of the theory at astrophysical scales to describe the dynamics of galaxies and clusters of galaxies. The point particle acceleration is given by (Moffat (2006, 2016)):

$$a(r)_{\mathrm{MOG}} = -\frac{G_N M}{r^2}\Big[1+\alpha-\alpha\exp(-\mu r)(1+\mu r)\Big]. \qquad (1)$$

Here, G_N denotes Newton's gravitational constant and M denotes the mass. The parameter α determines the strength of attactive gravitation through $G = G_N(1+\alpha)$, while μ is the mass of the vector field ϕ_μ that produces a repulsive gravitational force through the gravitational charge:

$$Q_g = \int d^3x J^0 = \sqrt{\alpha G_N} M, \qquad (2)$$

where $J^\mu = (J^0, J^i)\,(i = 1,2,3)$ is the current density matter source of the vector field ϕ_μ. The MOG acceleration (1) satisfies the (weak) equivalence principle.

For a distribution of matter, the acceleration is given by

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = -G_N \int d^3x' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^3} \times\Big[1+\alpha-\alpha\exp(-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|)(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|)\Big]. \qquad (3)$$

The application of the theory to galaxies as well as clusters of galaxies determines the best fit values: $\alpha = 8.89 \pm 0.34$ and $\mu = 0.042 \pm 0.004\ \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$ (Moffat & Rahvar 2013, 2014).

The potential for a distribution of matter with density ρ is given by:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{x}) = -G_N \int d^3x' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}\big(1+\alpha-\alpha\exp(-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|)\big). \qquad (4)$$

2 A1689 DATA

In order to see if MOG fits the cluster A1689 data, we employ the normalized acceleration data given by Nieuwenhuizen in his Fig. 2 (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)). Nieuwenhuizen has obtained the acceleration data by using A1689 strong and weak lensing data. In particular, the two-dimensional mass density $\Sigma(r)$ has been determined by Limousin et al. (Limousin et al. (2007)). From X-ray observations the electron and gas mass density can be obtained. We use 59

© The Authors

data points with 12 data points from (Limousin et al. (2007)) at radii between 3 kpc and 271 kpc, 20 data points from (Coe et al. (2010)), which overlap with the data of Limousin et al. in the window between 40 kpc and 150 kpc, and 27 data points from (Umetsu et al. (2015)), which cover the range between 125 kpc and 3 Mpc. The gas data is from Chandra X-ray observations with a total exposure time of 150 ks (Morandi et al. (2010)) and in our calculations we use a cored Sersic electron density profile (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)):

$$n_e(r) = n_e^0 \exp\left[k_g - k_g\left(1+\frac{r^2}{R_g^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n_g}}\right]. \tag{5}$$

From (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)), we obtain $n_e^0 = 0.0673 \pm 0.0027\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, $k_g = 1.90 \pm 0.20$, $R_g = 21.2 \pm 2.4\,\mathrm{kpc}$ and $n_g = 2.91 \pm 0.11$. For a typical Z=0.3 solar metallicity the gas density is (Nieuwenhuizen (2009)):

$$\rho_g(r) = 1.167 m_N n_e^3(r). \tag{6}$$

Background galaxies are far away from the cluster, so strong lensing can be treated as occurring due to mass projected onto the plane through the cluster center. The strong lensing yields data for the mass density along the line-of-sight:

$$\Sigma(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \rho(\sqrt{r^2+z^2}). \tag{7}$$

3 MOG, MOND AND NFW PROFILE ACCELERATION RESULTS

We investigate the possibility of fitting the A1689 data with MOG, MOND and with a dark matter model using a NFW profile. Although there are many galaxies in a galaxy cluster, the galaxy mass density of A1689 is dominated by the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) in the center of the cluster. We will use the BCG density profile proposed in (Limousin et al. (2005)):

$$\rho_{\mathrm{Galaxy}}(r) = \frac{M_{cg}(R_{co}+R_{cg})}{2\pi^2(r^2+R_{co}^2)(r^2+R_{cg}^2)}, \tag{8}$$

where M_{cg} and R_{cg} denote the mass and the radial size of the central galaxy, respectively, while R_{co} denotes its core size. In our calculations, we use in our BCG mass density profile $R_{co} = 5$ kpc, $R_{cg} = 150$ kpc and $M_{cg} \sim 10^{13} M_\odot$ from (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)).

Because the BCG is spherically symmetric, we can use the MOG point mass radial acceleration equation (1). We can express this acceleration by the effective equation for $r >> \mu^{-1}$:

$$a(r)_{\mathrm{MOG}} = -\frac{G_N(1+\alpha)M_{\mathrm{bar}}(r)}{r^2}, \tag{9}$$

where M_{bar} denotes the baryon mass. By using

$$\rho(r) = \rho_{gas}(r) + \rho_{\mathrm{Galaxy}}(r) \tag{10}$$

and integrating $\rho(r)$ over the volume of the cluster, we obtain the mass $M_{\mathrm{bar}}(r)$. We choose the parameter values: $\alpha = 8.89$ and $\mu = 2.77\,\mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$ or $\mu^{-1} = 0.36$ kpc. This choice of α and μ parameters coincides with the values employed for fits to dwarf galaxy rotation curves (Zhoolideh Haghighi & Rahvar (2016)). We have plotted the MOG predicted acceleration in Fig.1. The acceleration data is from (Nieuwenhuizen (2016)). The acceleration curve for Newtonian gravity without dark matter, determined by $a_{\mathrm{Newt}} = G_N M_{\mathrm{bar}}/r^2$, is depicted in Fig.1. and does not fit the normalized acceleration data. In the case of MOND, we use the following interpolating function $\nu(a/a_0)$ to calculate the acceleration:

$$\nu\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right) = \left(1+\left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{11}$$

This leads to the MOND acceleration:

$$a_{\mathrm{MOND}} = \frac{a_{\mathrm{Newt}}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1+\left(1+\left(\frac{2a_0}{a_{\mathrm{Newt}}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{12}$$

The predicted MOND normalized acceleration curve shown in Fig.1, follows closely the Newtonian acceleration curve without dark matter.

To obtain the A1689 dark matter normalized acceleration, we adopt the NFW profile (Navarro & Frenk & White (2009)):

$$\rho(r)_{\mathrm{NFW}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{r_s}\left(r+\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^2}. \tag{13}$$

The dark matter model acceleration is obtained from

$$a(r)_{\mathrm{NFW}} = -G_N\frac{M_{\mathrm{dm}}(r)}{r^2}, \tag{14}$$

where M_{dm} denotes the dark matter mass. We have from lensing data for A1689 (Lemze et al. (2008)): $\rho_0 = 9.6 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-25} h^2 \mathrm{gr/cm}^3$ and $r_s = 175 \pm 18\,h^{-1}\mathrm{kpc}$. After integrating the NFW profile and calculating $M_{\mathrm{dm}}(r)$, we obtain the acceleration curve depicted in Fig.1.

We have normalized the acceleration in all three cases to $a_0 = cH_0/2\pi = 1.14 \times 10^{-10}\,\mathrm{ms}^{-2}$. As can be seen, MOG can fit the data points from small to large radii. For the NFW profile dark matter model, just a part of the data can be fitted for radii $r \leq 200$ kpc. To see if the data can be fitted for smaller radii, we change the value of ρ_0 to fit the model for smaller radii, but then for larger values of the radii the model no longer fits the data. These results are shown in Fig.1. The MOND prediction is the same as the Newtonian prediction, and for MOND there is no way to fit the data except to include dark matter or heavy sterile neutrinos.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The lensing and the Chandra X-ray data can determine the total baryon density for the cluster A1689. The acceleration for Newtonian, MOND and MOG models is then derived from the baryon mass $M_{\mathrm{bar}}(r)$ by integrating the total baryon density, assuming that the BCG is the dominant mass in the A1689 cluster. The normalized acceleration for these models is then compared with acceleration data for A1689. The Newtonian and MOND predictions without dark matter fall far short of fitting the data at all values of the radial distance r. A dark matter model based on a NFW density profile with the parameters ρ_0 and r_s determined by strong lensing data fits the acceleration data for $r > 200$ kpc

but not for r below this value. The MOG predicted acceleration without dark matter provides a good fit to the data at both small and large values of r.

Modified gravity theories have been formulated to avoid the need for dark matter. Experiments have failed to detect dark matter particles motivating studying the alternative gravitational theories. The fully covariant and Lorentz invariant MOG theory fits galaxy dynamics data and cluster data. It also fits the merging clusters Bullet Cluster and the Train Wreck Cluster (Abell 520) without dark matter (Brownstein & Moffat (2007); Israel & Moffat (2016)). A MOG application to cosmology without dark matter can explain structure growth and the CMB data (Moffat & Toth (2013)). The fitting of the cluster A1689 data adds an important success for MOG as an alternative gravity theory without dark matter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Martin Green, Niayesh Afshordi and Theodorus Nieuwenhuizen for helpful discussions. We thank Marceau Limousin for supplying data and for helpful discussions. This research was supported in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science.

REFERENCES

Akerib, D. S., Araújo, H. M., Bai, X., et al. 2016, Physical Review Letters, 116, 161301
Brownstein, J. R., & Moffat, J. W. 2007, MNRAS, 382, 29
Coe, D., Benítez, N., Broadhurst, T., & Moustakas, L. A. 2010, ApJ, 723, 1678
He, X.-G., & Tandean, J. 2016, arXiv:1609.03551
Israel, N. S, & Moffat, J. W 2016, arXiv:1606.09128
Lemze, D., Barkana, R., Broadhurst, T. J., & Rephaeli, Y. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 1092
Limousin, M., Richard, J., Jullo, E., et al. 2007, ApJ, 668, 643
Limousin, M., Kneib, J.-P., & Natarajan, P. 2005, MNRAS, 356, 309
Milgrom, M. 1983, ApJ, 270, 365
Moffat, J. W. 2006, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 3, 004
Moffat, J. W. 2016, Phys. Lett. B, 763, 427
Moffat, J. W., Toth, V. T. 2008, ApJ, 680, 1158
Moffat, J. W., & Toth, V. T. 2008, ApJ, 680, 1158-1161
Moffat, J. W., & Rahvar, S. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 3724
Moffat, J. W., & Rahvar, S. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1439
Moffat, J. W. & Toth, V. T. 2013, Galaxies, 1, 65
Morandi, A., Pedersen, K., & Limousin, M. 2010, ApJ, 713, 491
Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S. & White, S. D. M. 1996, ApJ, 462, 563
Nieuwenhuizen, T. M. 2009, EPL (Europhysics Letters), 86, 59001
Nieuwenhuizen, T. M. 2016, Journal of Physics Conference Series, 701, 012022
Nieuwenhuizen, T. M. 2016, arXiv:1610.01543
PandaX-II Collaboration, :, Tan, A., et al. 2016, arXiv:1607.07400
Rubin V. C. & Ford, W. K., Jr. 1970, ApJ, 159, 379
Umetsu, K., Sereno, M., Medezinski, E., et al. 2015, ApJ, 806, 207
Zhoolideh Haghighi, M. H., & Rahvar, S. 2016, arXiv:1609.07851
Zwicky, F. 1933, Helvetica Physica Acta, 6, 110
Zwicky, F. 1937, ApJ, 86, 217



Figure 1. The full blue curve is the MOG prediction for acceleration with $\alpha = 8.89$ and $\mu = 2.77\,\mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$, the dotted orange curve is the NFW prediction with $\rho_0 = 9.6 \times 10^{-25} h^2 \mathrm{gr/cm}^3$, the dash-dotted brown curve is for NFW with $\rho_0 = 38.4 \times 10^{-25} h^2 \mathrm{gr/cm}^3$ and the dashed black curve is the MOND and Newtonian predictions.